UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORKX	A.
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
v.	APPLICATION AND ORDER OF EXCLUDABLE DELAY
SHERIEKA SMALLING	11 - M - 1251
Defendant.	
The United States of America and the defenda	ant SHERIEKA SMALLING hereby jointly request
that the time period from February 20, 2012 to March	20, 2012 be excluded in computing the time within
which an information or indictment must be filed. The	ne parties seek the foregoing exclusion of time in
order because	
(X) they are engaged in	plea negotiations, which they believe are likely to
result in a disposition of this case without trial, and the	ey require an exclusion of time in order to focus
efforts on plea negotiations without the risk that they v	would not, despite their diligence, have reasonable
time for effective preparation for trial,	<u> </u>
• •	nvestigate and prepare for trial due to the complexity
of case,	
()	
This is the (circle one) first/second application	n for entry of an order of excludable delay. The
defendant was arrested on _12/20/2012 and releas	
[4]	Seed l'Amten
Assistant U.S. Attorney	Counsel for Defendant
v For defendant to read review with counsel and acknow	rwledae.

ndant to read, review with counsel, and acknowledge:

I understand that federal law generally provides that I have a right to have formal charges lodged against me within thirty days of my arrest and a right to a trial on those charges within seventy days after formal charges have been lodged. I further understand that I do not have to consent to the exclusion of time sought in this application and that, by consenting to entry of this order, the date on which formal charges must be lodged will be delayed and the date for the commencement of any trial on those charges will likely be delayed as well. I also understand that if formal charges are not brought against me within the time required by law, I may seek relief from the court, and that this relief might include dismissal of the complaint now pending against me.

I have reviewed this application, as well as the order annexed below, and have discussed the
question of whether I should consent to entry of an order of excludable delay carefully with my attorney. I
consent to the entry of the order voluntarily and of my on free will. I have not been threatened or
coerced for my consent.
alustia VI - 1 K OD.
2/16/12 Should Smally
Date ' [Defendant
For Defendant's Counsel to read and acknowledge:
I certify that I have reviewed this application and the attached order carefully with my client. I further
certify that I have discussed with my client a defendant's right to speedy indictment and the question of
whether to consent to entry of an order of excludable delay. I am satisfied that my client understands the
contents of this application and the attached order, that my client consents to the entry of the order
voluntarily and of his or her own free will, and that my client has not been threatened or coerced for
consent.
Seatt trustem
ORDER OF EXCLUDABLE DELAY
Upon the joint application of the United States of America and defendant SHERIEKA
SMALLING, and with the express written consent of the defendant, the time period from February 20,
2012 to March 20, 2012 is hereby excluded in computing the time within which an information or
indictment must be filed, as the Court finds that this exclusion of time serves the ends of justice and
outweighs the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial because
(X_{\parallel}) given the reasonable likelihood that ongoing plea negotiations will result in a
disposition of this case without trial, the exclusion of time will allow all counsel to focus their efforts on plea negotiations without the risk that they would be denied the reasonable time
necessary for effective preparation for trial, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
() additional time is needed to prepare for trial due to the complexity of case.
()
SO ORDERED.
Dated: 2/17/12 Brooklyn, New York
Dated: 2/17/12 Brooklyn, New York Sovenstein
1 * '